

# Discussion

Can one really claim that Slackware-current updates are 'regular'? — [Tony Senerchia](#) 2012/08/20 10:52

Could you suggest any change to the above phrasing? — [Harishankar](#) 2012/08/21 00:55

Drop the “regular”, just have “frequent updates”. — [Brian Lawrence](#) 2012/08/22 03:45

“regular” implies done to a schedule, “frequent” implies done as and when needed or available. — [Brian Lawrence](#) 2012/08/27 01:32

corrected now. — [Harishankar](#) 2012/08/27 01:42

---

“Salix OS is a Slackware derived distribution that...” I think we should re-phrase this sentence in Package management section, because paragraph is about packages and tools and then last sentence is about another Linux distribution (I know it's about Salix packages but word order suggest something different) . I suggest something like “You can also use packages from Salix OS, a Slackware derived distribution that incorporates dependency handling. Website can be found here: <http://www.salixos.org/>” — [Yenn](#) 2012/08/24 17:37

I really meant to suggest Salix OS as an alternative to those who want a Slackware style system, but with dependency handling. I agree we can use Salix packages on Slackware. I think people who want dependency handling could choose also a distribution that does it natively. — [Harishankar](#) 2012/08/24 08:42

I see your point and I'm not saying it's bad, but well, beauty is in the eye of the beholder so that's just how I see it. I'm not sure right now how it would be better formulated, so let's keep it how it is and when I'll figure out something better, I'll let you know.

PS: I edited your comment so discussion looks clearly. Feel free to revert the change if you don't like it. — [Yenn](#) 2012/08/24 18:45

Do feel free to correct the style of English if it doesn't alter the meaning. I don't write American myself and I don't know many American expressions. — [Harishankar](#) 2012/08/24 19:46

## FAQ sections

It may be a good idea to split the FAQ into sections once there are more topics to cover. — [Harishankar](#) 2012/08/24 01:38

---

There seems to be quite a few topics covered in this FAQ now. These are the logical sections that come to mind when looking over the questions:

- Getting Slackware (questions 1 - 6)
- Installation & Support (questions 7 - 12)
- Slackware Philosophy (questions 13 - 17)

Does anyone have any suggestions? — [Gerardo Zamudio](#) 2012/08/26 14:54

Good idea. I'll add sections. If anybody wants to tweak them, feel free. — [Harishankar](#) 2012/08/26 19:09

I went ahead and moved the headers up one level so they're a bit bigger. FAQ sections are still prominent. Deleted some horizontal rules that "boxed in" the FAQ sections. The table of contents looks pretty organized this way, too. Any thoughts? — [Gerardo Zamudio](#) 2012/08/26 20:08

Headings serve a purpose for document structure. I suggest we keep the correct heading levels viz. h1 for main topic heading, h2 for sections and h3 for subsections and so on. **Edited to add: Your heading levels look fine to me.** - Harishankar

In the "Why doesn't Slackware Linux have my favourite "XYZ" software included in the CD/DVD?", delete this sentence: "Be it so, Slackware still packages a large number of commonly used software that are be needed by most users.", please. — [Brian Lawrence](#) 2012/08/27 02:39

Ignore last remark, I'll edit that line. — [Brian Lawrence](#) 2012/08/27 03:03

I can see a section dealing with the speed of Slackware boot up has been added. The author / poster may also consider mentioning the Lilo's compact option. — [sycamorex](#) 2012/08/31 21:46

I added that section. Please feel absolutely free to improve upon it. I myself don't use LILO, so I didn't know the options in LILO. Anybody with knowledge of LILO may improve it as far as I am concerned. — [Harishankar](#) 2012/08/31 19:48

— Harishankar, have you then removed the section? I can't see it — [Marcin Herda](#) 2012/08/31 23:29

No it's still here: [Why does Slackware take so long to boot up?](#) I see that somebody else has added more content to it. — [Harishankar](#) 2012/09/01 00:55

## Present Contributors of Slackware Linux?

I have added a list of names mentioned by Patrick in the LQ.org interview as present contributors. Please feel free to add names which I might have left out due to my ignorance. — [Harishankar](#) 2012/08/31 21:21

In the section "Why doesn't Slackware's package manager do dependency handling?", change "number" to "amount" in "A significant number of Open Source software...". — [Brian Lawrence](#)

2012/09/01 03:15

I changed it to “several popular open source applications”. Reads a bit better in my view. —  
[Harishankar](#) 2012/09/01 04:01

From:

<https://docs.slackware.com/> - **SlackDocs**

Permanent link:

<https://docs.slackware.com/talk:slackware:faq>

Last update: **2012/09/01 11:02 (UTC)**

