Page locking?

I have a feeling Dokuwiki locks the entire article, regardless of whether you're editing a single section or the entire page. Correct me if I'm wrong, but I got the idea that this is the behaviour. — *Harishankar 2012/08/22 08:23*

Have to test it

Whole page, I think, according to The Manual - Brian Lawrence 2012/08/24 02:12

I have edited this page in order to merge the original editing_rules page to the "Editing Policy" section here, as per Eric H.'s suggestion. — V. T. Eric Layton 2012/08/30 14:56

Adenda: Well, for some reason or another, my hour's worth of work is not appearing on the page when I save the edit. I see it in the editor, but not on the article page. *tired* Quitting for now. :(-V. *T. Eric Layton 2012/08/30 15:05*

The way in which you added that footnote put the parser on the wrong foot Look at how I fixed that footnote. Powerful syntax. — Eric Hameleers 2012/08/30 15:19

Back when I used to smoke and drink, I used to pay powerful sin taxes. HA! \checkmark Humor is a good thing. Yes, footnote syntax very cool. It even shows when you hover over the number. Thanks, Eric. G'night... – V. T. Eric Layton 2012/08/30 19:07

Shouldn't the Style Guide also be signed by its author? Niki Kovacs Tue Sep 4 07:14:48 CEST 2012

Most Slackdocs documentation, if not all, has been written by staff. Personally, I don't care about author attribution on these "rules and regs" type articles. Many of the articles, this one for

instance, have numerous contributors. Just my thoughts. \checkmark – V. T. Eric Layton 2012/09/04 10:45

"Follow proper capitalization rules for words in titles and headings." Aren't we being pedantic? Personally, I find this a little too fussy and unnecessary. A Pain In The Arse, or a Pain in the Arse. — Brian Lawrence 2012/09/08 06:01

Actually, proper capitalization is an important part of any written language, in my opinion, and should be respected. A site with poor examples of writing and proper use of language by the staff members doesn't set much of an example for those utilizing the site, I wouldn't think. By the way,



neither of your examples above are properly capitalized were they to be used as titles. Minor words such as "in" and "the" should not be capitalized. I feel we should take pride in how language is used on this site, hence the style guidelines. — V. T. Eric Layton 2012/09/08 16:07

Titles and headings should tell the reader what the article or section is about, simple as that. The title and headings of the article that started this debate, Niki's HowTo on NTP, did that. And what is more important for the purposes of this site, the instructions in the article worked. I do not think that anyone who wants to write an article should be worrying about whether it meets the approval of The Chicago Manual of Style or NIVA. — *Brian Lawrence 2012/09/09 02:25*

—- I had the same thought about that. Capitalizing every word is typical US-english style. We are trying to not just cater to the US population, remember? I personally think capitalizing every word is ugly. Try capitalizing a whole sentence... it looks awful.

Nevertheless, from the point of view of "unified look and feel" I can understand why you would want all headers capitalized.

- Eric Hameleers 2012/09/08 06:59

If capitalization is a US-english style only, it should NOT be used. Its just my opinion, but the wiki is international, not US.

Concerning this page, I removed the translation notes and linked to the translation guidelines. Also, shouldn't we re-order the page ? It's not really consistent like this. For example sections concerning edits (ie. modifications) should be AFTER the general rules (ie. A General Note on Style, ...). I would move sections in this order:

- 1. A General Note on Style
- 2. Page Editing Etiquette
- 3. Editing Policy
- (everything else being left in the actual order)

- zithro 2012/09/14 14:04

From: https://docs.slackware.com/ - **SlackDocs**

Permanent link: https://docs.slackware.com/talk:slackdocs:styleguide



Last update: 2012/09/14 21:44 (UTC)